

Chemical Installation and Endogenous Cycling in Protein S-Palmitoylation: Interpreting Gain-of-Function Evidence

Connor Hayes, Nathan Reed, Julian Morgan

Abstract

Site-specific chemical installation of a fatty-acyl group offers a direct way to ask what a modified protein can do. It also changes the interpretation problem: a chemically installed mimic need not reproduce the dynamics, occupancy, or molecular interactions of a reversible endogenous modification. This conceptual analysis examines live-cell gain-of-function evidence through the chemical fatty-acylation study of Li and colleagues. It separates successful installation, membrane association, downstream signaling, and correspondence with native S-palmitoylation. The proposed validation strategy combines site controls, chemical controls, temporal observations, and independent biological replication. A bounded role for efficient adaptation concerns extraction and organization of experimental records, not replacement of a biochemical assay. No proteins were modified and no live-cell experiment or molecular model was run here. The article develops an evidence framework for deciding which functional claims a site-specific perturbation can support.

Why a gain-of-function intervention is valuable

Li and colleagues describe a live-cell strategy using site-specific incorporation of a cycloalkyne-containing noncanonical amino acid followed by reaction with a fatty-acyl tetrazine (Y. Li et al., 2020). This creates a defined chemical perturbation at a chosen protein location. Its conceptual advantage is that the experiment can add a modification-like feature rather than infer its role only by removing a native residue or inhibiting a modifying enzyme. Addition and removal answer related but different questions, particularly when the protein participates in several pathways or the modifying machinery has many substrates.

A gain-of-function result nevertheless needs a precise statement of the intervention. The tested object is the engineered protein in a particular cell environment after a particular reaction. It is not automatically the native protein carrying a naturally installed lipid at the same nominal position. The side chain, linker, chemical bond, occupancy, and timing can differ. These differences do not make the chemical intervention uninformative. They specify the counterfactual being tested and the additional comparisons required before the conclusion is generalized to endogenous regulation.

The central research question is therefore layered. Was the intended site modified? Did the modification alter a measured physical or cellular property? Did it alter a downstream biological response? Which part of that response depends on the properties shared with the endogenous modification? Treating all four questions as one binary success would conceal the most informative controls. A useful study records the evidence supporting each layer and the conditions under which the layers no longer agree.

Site-specific incorporation is an experimental condition

Genetic-code expansion provides a framework for incorporating noncanonical chemical functionality into proteins (Chin, 2017). Its relevance here is controlled access to a chosen site, rather than a general guarantee that every engineered protein behaves like its native counterpart. Incorporation efficiency, expression level, and the behavior of the unreacted engineered protein should be assessed. A low-yield or altered baseline can change the interpretation of the later reaction, especially if the final readout is sensitive to protein abundance or cell-state differences.

The appropriate controls begin before lipid installation. An unmodified wild-type protein, the engineered but unreacted variant, and a reaction control lacking the intended chemical partner distinguish several possible effects. If the engineered residue itself changes localization, the lipid-induced difference should be interpreted relative to that baseline as well as to the native protein. A successful labeling signal also needs a check of site specificity rather than an assumption that a favorable band corresponds exclusively to the intended product.

Bioorthogonal chemistry was developed to enable selective chemical transformations in biological environments (Prescher and Bertozzi, 2005). Selectivity is a property demonstrated under particular reaction and cellular conditions. A new concentration, incubation time, or cell type can change the burden on the system. The experiment should therefore retain reagent exposure and washout conditions, and include measurements that would reveal an unintended cellular response. A useful functional result separates the intended protein perturbation from a general effect of delivering or reacting the chemical reagent.

Native palmitoylation is a regulated process

The biological meaning of protein S-palmitoylation includes effects on stability, trafficking, and localization (Linder and Deschenes, 2007). These effects occur within a regulated cellular setting. The same lipid-like feature can have different consequences depending on the surrounding sequence, membrane environment, other modifications, and the protein's interaction partners. Site-specific chemistry makes this dependence experimentally accessible, but it should not be collapsed into a universal claim that adding hydrophobicity activates a protein.

Work on the Ras acylation cycle emphasizes the importance of modification dynamics to localization and activity (Rocks et al., 2005). An irreversible chemical mimic can test whether a persistent lipid-like anchor is sufficient for an observed response. It cannot, by that result alone, establish that the endogenous reversible cycle uses the same temporal mechanism. A cycling system may require release, redistribution, and reattachment. A permanently installed group could bypass one step and still reproduce a selected terminal readout.

This distinction suggests a useful comparison between endpoint resemblance and trajectory resemblance. Two interventions can produce similar membrane fractions at one time while follow different routes through cellular compartments. They can also generate similar signaling endpoints through different periods of exposure. A stronger correspondence claim would retain localization and response over time and compare their relationship, rather than select the time point at which the two interventions most closely match. The appropriate temporal resolution follows the proposed mechanism and should be declared before the comparison.

Membrane association is a mechanism candidate

The focal study reports chemical perturbations that connect fatty-acyl structure, site, membrane affinity, and signaling in its tested systems (Y. Li et al., 2020). This provides a useful example of how site-specific addition can move beyond a generic modified-versus-unmodified contrast. The broader interpretation should still distinguish a measured membrane-associated fraction from the molecular process that produced it. Fractionation, imaging, and functional signaling are different measurements, each with its own background, normalization, and sampling constraints.

A membrane-affinity change may be necessary for one response without be sufficient for it. The protein may need the correct compartment, orientation, interaction partner, or local concentration. A cellular readout can also saturate, making different localization changes look equivalent at the final endpoint. For

these reasons, a prospective study should not use one membrane assay as proof of complete functional rescue. It should test the connection between the proposed physical change and the downstream response with interventions that can separate them.

Chemical biology approaches to protein lipidation provide tools for making such distinctions (Hang and Linder, 2011). A useful comparison could vary lipid structure while holding the protein site and reaction conditions fixed, then vary the site with the chemical structure held fixed. These contrasts address different mechanisms. Changing site and chain together would confound the local protein environment with the installed chemical feature. Independent measurements of expression and modification occupancy would help identify whether a response difference reflects function or simply a different amount of modified protein.

A sufficient perturbation is not a complete endogenous explanation

Gain-of-function evidence can establish that a particular engineered change is sufficient for an observed response under tested conditions. It does not automatically establish that the corresponding endogenous modification is necessary, that it is the only route to the response, or that its normal regulation operates in the same way. A necessity experiment can complement the addition experiment, but it also has its own limitations. A residue substitution can alter more than modification capacity, while an enzyme inhibitor can affect multiple substrates.

The strongest inference comes from comparisons whose limitations differ and whose predictions meet on a specific mechanism. A chemical addition, a native-site perturbation, and a temporal localization measurement might support the same narrow claim while retain separate uncertainty about occupancy and reversibility. Agreement is more informative when the methods do not share the same confounding route. Repeated measurements using one assay can improve precision without provide this kind of mechanistic triangulation.

The future of bioorthogonal chemistry includes expanding the scope of reactions and biological questions that can be addressed (Devaraj, 2018). For a functional study, broader chemical scope should be accompanied by clearer claim boundaries. A reaction that works in more cell contexts can reveal biologically important variation, but an apparent difference between contexts may arise from expression, reagent access, or baseline pathway state. These variables should be retained as experimental conditions rather than interpreted automatically as new biology caused by the lipid feature.

Independent units, occupancy, and readout uncertainty

The independent unit in a live-cell experiment may be a preparation, culture, biological replicate, or independently performed intervention. Many imaged cells from one treated culture do not automatically provide many independent intervention replicates. The study should preserve the hierarchy connecting cells, fields, wells, preparations, and days. A pooled image-level analysis can otherwise produce narrow intervals that mainly reflect extensive observation of one experimental condition rather than reproducibility of that condition.

A prespecified association model could describe how a readout changes with measured occupancy or expression, with uncertainty appropriate to the experimental units. Heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance addresses unequal residual variability in a suitable regression setting (White, 1980). It does not repair dependence among cells from the same preparation or remove selection introduced by excluding dim or unhealthy cells. The proposed analysis should declare both the conditioning variables and the selection rule, including the fate of preparations in which the chemical reaction did not produce the expected occupancy.

Normalization deserves the same attention as the biological endpoint. A signaling band normalized to a loading control, a membrane fraction normalized to total protein, and a per-cell fluorescence ratio express different quantities. A ratio can change because its denominator changes. Reporting the component measurements would make it easier to distinguish that possibility. The relevant uncertainty includes variability in the perturbation and in the assay, not just the scatter of an already normalized final score.

Modeling can organize evidence without standing in for it

Tong and colleagues study a Hadamard tensor-ring method for efficient low-rank fine-tuning (H. Tong et al., 2026). A prospective application in this domain is adapting a system that extracts protein sites, chemical structures, cell contexts, and assay conditions from experimental records. Such a model would be evaluated on whether it preserves distinctions between native modifications and installed mimics, reversible and irreversible perturbations, and localization and signaling outcomes. No such model was trained here, and the cited method supplies no experimental evidence for a lipidation mechanism.

Low-rank adaptation is an established comparator for parameter-efficient model updating (Hu et al., 2022). A fair comparison would separate related protein constructs and preparation families across development and checking records. It should assess omission of a negative control as seriously as incorrect extraction of a positive result. Parameter count alone does not measure the resources needed to produce reliable annotations or verify an extracted chemical structure. A model can help assemble an evidence map while still require direct inspection of the underlying assay and molecular specification.

The map should retain source locations and unresolved ambiguity. A notation that does not distinguish two nearby sites should not be resolved by selecting the more familiar one. A statement that a mimic increases membrane association should not become a statement that native palmitoylation fully restores physiological regulation. These are semantic changes with biological consequences. Testing for them gives efficient adaptation a concrete task and preserves the role of chemical and cellular experiments as the evidence for function.

A practical sequence of next tests

A useful next study would first verify installation and occupancy, then compare the unreacted engineered protein with the reacted product under matched conditions. It would test a small set of chemical and site contrasts chosen to distinguish a proposed mechanism. Localization and downstream response would be measured on compatible time axes, and the analysis would preserve independent preparations. A later experiment could examine the difference between persistent modification and a reversible endogenous cycle. Each stage would answer a narrower question than an undifferentiated claim of functional equivalence.

Unsuccessful conditions should remain part of this record. A failed incorporation, a low-occupancy reaction, or a context in which the endpoint does not change can define the boundary of the approach. Removing these cases would make the method appear more general while reduce the information available to another investigator. Reproducibility is strongest when the report makes it possible to anticipate both where the intervention is informative and where another perturbation is needed.

Conclusion

Site-specific chemical fatty-acylation creates a valuable gain-of-function question that cannot be answered by removing a modification alone. Its strongest interpretation preserves the chemical identity of the perturbation, the independent experimental unit, and the distinction between an endpoint and a regulated cycle. A functional resemblance is a result to explain rather than a reason to erase those distinctions. The proposed framework connects chemical specificity, biological controls, temporal evidence, and traceable modeling support, while leaving claims about endogenous mechanism to the experiments capable of discriminating among them.

References

- Li, Yumeng, et al. "Site-specific chemical fatty-acylation for gain-of-function analysis of protein S-palmitoylation in live cells." *Chemical Communications*, vol. 56, no. 89, 2020, pp. 13880-13883. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d0cc06073a>.
- Tong, H., Xu, G., & Chen, Y. (2026, May). Hadamard Tensor Ring for Efficient Low-Rank Fine-Tuning. In ICASSP 2026-2026 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)
- Chin, Jason W. "Expanding and reprogramming the genetic code." *Nature*, vol. 550, no. 7674, 2017, pp. 53-60. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24031>.
- Prescher, Jennifer A and Carolyn R Bertozzi. "Chemistry in living systems." *Nature Chemical Biology*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2005, pp. 13-21. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio0605-13>.
- Linder, Maurine E. and Robert J. Deschenes. "Palmitoylation: policing protein stability and traffic." *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2007, pp. 74-84. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2084>.
- Rocks, Oliver, et al. "An Acylation Cycle Regulates Localization and Activity of Palmitoylated Ras Isoforms." *Science*, vol. 307, no. 5716, 2005, pp. 1746-1752. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1105654>.
- Hang, Howard C. and Maurine E. Linder. "Exploring Protein Lipidation with Chemical Biology." *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 111, no. 10, 2011, pp. 6341-6358. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr2001977>.
- Devaraj, Neal K. "The Future of Bioorthogonal Chemistry." *ACS Central Science*, vol. 4, no. 8, 2018, pp. 952-959. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscentsci.8b00251>.
- White, Halbert. "A Heteroskedasticity-Consistent Covariance Matrix Estimator and a Direct Test for Heteroskedasticity." *Econometrica*, vol. 48, no. 4, 1980, pp. 817-838. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1912934>.
- Hu, Edward J., et al. "LoRA: Low-Rank Adaptation of Large Language Models." *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022. <https://openreview.net/forum?id=nZeVKeeFYf9>.